

Axiomatizing Probabilistic Logic of Quantum Programs

Jort Bergfeld and Joshua Sack

Amsterdam, 2014 April 1

Motivation and Background

Quantum Algorithms and Protocols: use logic for a better understanding.

Probabilistic Logic of Quantum Programs

We involve a logic that expresses

- **probabilities** of outcomes of quantum tests
- effects of **quantum tests** and **unitary operations**
- **separation operations** that characterize subsystems.

and is **decidable**: PLQP & company by Baltag et al 2014

In this talk, we

- provide a **sound proof system**
- use it to prove that “leader election protocol” is correct

Structures for reasoning about quantum systems

Hilbert spaces are commonly used:

- **Quantum states** are **one-dimensional subspaces**.
- **Probabilities** of outcomes of tests characterized by **inner product** of vector representatives of the states $\frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{|x||y|}$.
- **Composite systems** are constructed from the **tensor product** of Hilbert spaces (subsystems)

In this talk, we

- Involve **finite dimensional** Hilbert spaces
- Build each structure from the set of states for a **basis** of the Hilbert space
- Involve **agents**, each corresponding to a basis of a *subsystem*

Bases and states

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis

$$\vec{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}.$$

For every state (one dimensional space) s , there is a unit vector \vec{s} in state s , such that

- 1 there exists an $i \in N$ such that
 - 1 $\langle \vec{s}, \vec{b}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $j < i$, and
 - 2 $\langle \vec{s}, \vec{b}_i \rangle \in (0, 1]$,
- 2 $|\langle \vec{s}, \vec{b}_k \rangle|^2 \in [0, 1]$, and
- 3 $\sum_{k \in N} |\langle \vec{s}, \vec{b}_k \rangle|^2 = 1$.

The function $\langle \vec{s}, \cdot \rangle : \vec{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ characterizes s .

The function $|\langle \vec{s}, \cdot \rangle|^2 : \vec{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a probability mass function.

Complex probability mass function

$B = \{b_i \mid i \in N\}$ a finite totally ordered set (called **basis states**).

$f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a **complex probability mass function** on B if

- ① there exists an $i \in N$ such that
 - ① $f(b_j) = 0$ for all $j < i$, and
 - ② $f(b_i) \in (0, 1]$,
- ② $|f(b_k)|^2 \in [0, 1]$, and
- ③ $\sum_{k \in N} |f(b_k)|^2 = 1$.

F_B is the set of all complex probability mass functions on B .

Complex probability mass functions are states

Proposition

Given a set of *basis states*

$$B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$$

there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with *orthonormal basis*

$$\{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$$

such that for any complex probability mass function $s : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there is a vector \vec{s} , such that for each i , $s(b_i) = \langle \vec{s}, \vec{b}_i \rangle$.

As the complex probability mass function s uniquely identifies the state (one dimensional subspace) generated by \vec{s} , we identify s with that state.

Generating structure from F_B

Define $S := F_B$ (all complex probability mass functions)

- inner product $\mu(s, t) := \sum_{i \in n} s(b_i) \overline{t(b_i)}$ for all $s, t \in S$,
 \bar{z} is the complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbb{C}$
- nonorthogonality relation $R = \{(s, t) \in S \times S \mid \mu(s, t) \neq 0\}$,
- orthocomplement $\sim X := \{s \in S \mid (s, x) \notin R \text{ for all } x \in X\}$,
for any set $X \subseteq S$,
- testable properties $\mathcal{T} := \{P \subseteq S \mid P = \sim\sim P\}$,
- P -test relation $R_P := \{(s, t) \in R \mid t \in P \text{ and } |\mu(s, u)|^2 \leq |\mu(s, t)|^2 \text{ for all } u \in P\}$,
- unitary operators $\mathcal{U} := \{U : S \rightarrow S \mid$
 $U \text{ is a permutation and } \mu(s, t) = \mu(Us, Ut) \text{ for all } s, t \in S\}$,
- unitary relation $R_U := \{(s, t) \in S \times S \mid t = Us\}$.

Definition (Tensor product)

The **tensor product** of state bases $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ and $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ is

$$B \otimes C = \{b_i c_j \mid b_i \in B, c_j \in C\}$$

The elements of $B \otimes C$ are totally ordered by the dictionary order.

Definition (Separable and entangled states)

A complex probability mass function $f \in F_{B \otimes C}$ is **separable** (into B and C) if there exist $s \in F_B$ and $t \in F_C$, such that $f(bc) = s(b)t(c)$ for all $b \in B$ and $c \in C$. We write $f = s \otimes t$.

If f is not separable we call f **entangled**.

Definition (Separable Unitaries)

A unitary operator U on $F_{B \otimes C}$ is **separable** if there exists unitaries U_B and U_C , such that for all $s \in F_B$ and $t \in F_C$, $U(s \otimes t) = U_B(s) \otimes U_C(t)$. We then write $U = U_B \otimes U_C$.

Multi-agent models

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ be a finite set of agents.

Let Prop be a set of atomic propositions.

Definition (multi-agent probabilistic quantum model (PQM))

- An \mathcal{A} -PQF (probabilistic quantum frame) is a pair $F = (B, \{B_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}})$, where B is a basis of states and B_i is a **two-state** basis for each $i \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $B = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathcal{A}} B_i$.
- Then an \mathcal{A} -PQM (probabilistic quantum model) is a pair (F, V) , such that $F = (B, \{B_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}})$ is an \mathcal{A} -PQF and $V : \text{Prop} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F_B)$ is a **valuation**.

Given a subset $I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ of agents, let

- $B_I = \bigotimes_{i \in I} B_i$
- $S_I = F_{B_I}$
- If s is separable over B_I and $B_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I}$, let s_I denote the complex probability mass function such that there exists $s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I}$ such that $s = s_I \otimes s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ be a finite set of agents.

Let Prop be a (countable) set of atomic propositions.

$$\phi ::= \top_I \mid p \mid t \geq \rho \mid \neg\phi \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \Box\phi \mid [\alpha]\phi$$

$$\alpha ::= \top_I \mid \phi? \mid U \mid U^\dagger \mid \alpha \cup \alpha \mid \alpha; \alpha$$

$$t ::= \rho \Pr(\phi) \mid t + t$$

where $p \in \text{Prop}$, $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Language “ \mathcal{L} ” is defined to be the set of all such ϕ
- Set “Terms” is defined to be the set of all terms t

\top_I means “ I -separable”

$[\top_I]$ ranges over the “ I -subsystem” (is equivalent to $K_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I}$)

Let $((B, \{B_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}}), V)$ be an \mathcal{A} -PQM, and let $S = F_B$. We define

- an extended valuation $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}S$, and
- for each $s \in S$, $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_s : \text{Terms} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\llbracket \top_I \rrbracket := \{s \in S \mid s = s_I \otimes s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I} \text{ for some } s_I \in S_I \text{ and } s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I} \in S_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I}\},$$

$$\llbracket \rho \rrbracket := V(\rho),$$

$$\llbracket t \geq \rho \rrbracket := \{s \in S \mid \llbracket t \rrbracket_s \geq \rho\}$$

$$\llbracket \neg \phi \rrbracket := S \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket,$$

$$\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket := \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket,$$

$$\llbracket \Box \phi \rrbracket := \{s \in S \mid R(s) \subseteq \llbracket \phi \rrbracket\} \text{ (} R \text{ is non-orthogonality relation)}$$

$$\llbracket [\alpha] \phi \rrbracket := \{s \in S \mid R_\alpha(s) \subseteq \llbracket \phi \rrbracket\} \text{ (} R_\alpha \text{ is defined on next slide).}$$

$$\llbracket \rho \text{Pr}(\phi) \rrbracket_s := \rho \sum_{t \in R_P(s)} |\mu(s, t)|^2, \text{ where } P = \sim \sim \llbracket \phi \rrbracket,$$

$$\llbracket t_1 + t_2 \rrbracket_s := \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket_s + \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket_s$$

Here R_α can be inductively defined by

$$R_{\top_I} := \{(s, t) \mid t = (U_I \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A} \setminus I})(s) \text{ for some } U_I \in \mathcal{U}_I\},$$

$$R_{\phi?} := R_P, \text{ where } P = \sim\sim \llbracket \phi \rrbracket,$$

$$R_U := R_U,$$

$$R_{U^\dagger} := R_U^c,$$

$$R_{\alpha \cup \beta} := R_\alpha \cup R_\beta, \text{ and}$$

$$R_{\alpha; \beta} := R_\alpha; R_\beta.$$

Probabilistic abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \Pr(\phi_k) &:= a_1 \Pr(\phi_1) + \dots + a_n \Pr(\phi_n) \\ \rho \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \Pr(\phi_k) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \rho a_k \Pr(\phi_k) \\ t < \rho &:= \neg t \geq \rho \\ t \leq \rho &:= -t \geq -\rho \\ t = \rho &:= t \geq \rho \wedge t \leq \rho \\ t_1 \geq t_2 &:= t_1 - t_2 \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Abbreviations

$\sim\phi$	$:=$	$\Box\neg\phi$	(orthocomplement)
$\phi \vee \psi$	$:=$	$\neg(\neg\phi \wedge \neg\psi)$	(disjunction)
$\phi \sqcup \psi$	$:=$	$\sim(\sim\phi \wedge \sim\psi)$	(quantum join)
$\mathbf{A}\phi$	$:=$	$\Box\Box\phi$	(global universal)
$\mathbf{E}\phi$	$:=$	$\Diamond\Diamond\phi$	(global existential)
$(\phi \leq \psi)$	$:=$	$\mathbf{A}(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$	
$(\phi = \psi)$	$:=$	$\mathbf{A}(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$	
$\phi \perp \psi$	$:=$	$\phi \leq \sim\psi$	(orthogonal)
$\mathbf{T}(\phi)$	$:=$	$\sim\sim\phi = \phi$	(testable)
ϕ_I	$:=$	$\top_I \wedge \langle \top_{N \setminus I} \rangle \phi$	(I -component)
$\phi =_I \psi$	$:=$	$(\phi \leq \top_I) \wedge (\psi \leq \top_I) \wedge (\phi_I = \psi_I)$	(I -equivalent)
$\mathbf{I}(\phi)$	$:=$	$(\phi = \phi_I)$	(I -local)
$\langle \phi? \rangle =_\rho \psi$	$:=$	$\Pr(\phi) = \rho \wedge \langle \phi? \rangle \psi$	
$\langle \phi? \rangle >_\rho \psi$	$:=$	$\Pr(\phi) > \rho \wedge \langle \phi? \rangle \psi$	

Example: Leader Election Protocol

Example

- **Setting:** There are N agents.
- **Goal:** Each should have an equal ($1/N$) chance of being chosen to be the leader.
- **Strategy:** Prepare a quantum state that has equal probability of collapsing into any of N basis elements when measured.
- **Solution:** This state is the W -state in a 2^N -dimensional Hilbert space (a subsystem for each agent).
 - The basis for the 2^N -dimensional space is the product of the bases $\{0_k, 1_k\}$, for each of the N agents.
 - The k -th agent is associated with the basis element $b_k = (0_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes 0_{k-1} \otimes 1_k \otimes 0_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 0_{N-1})$.
 - The W -state is an equally weighted superposition of the b_k .

E. D'Hondt and P. Panangaden,
The Computational Power of the W and GHZ States,
Quantum Information and Computation 6 (2006), 173–83.

Expressing the Leader Election Protocol

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ be a finite set of agents.

$$\text{Basis}(\mathcal{B}) := \left(\bigsqcup_{i \in 2^N} b_i = \top \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \neq j} (b_i \perp b_j).$$

$$\text{Separable}(\mathcal{B}) := \bigwedge_{i \in 2^N} (b_i \leq \bigwedge_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \top_a).$$

Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i \mid i \in \{0, \dots, N\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Think of W_N as $(0_0 \otimes \dots \otimes 0_{N-1})$.

$$\text{QLE}(\mathcal{W}) := \bigwedge_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left[((W_a)_a \neq_a (W_N)_a) \wedge \bigwedge_{b \in \mathcal{A} \setminus a} ((W_a)_b =_b (W_N)_b) \right].$$

The correctness of the quantum leader election is expressed by

$$\text{Basis}(\mathcal{B}) \wedge \text{Separable}(\mathcal{B}) \wedge \text{QLE}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \bigwedge_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (\text{Pr}(W_a) = \frac{1}{N}).$$

Theorem

Each quantum dynamic frame is dual to a Piron lattice.

A quantum dynamic frame is a special Kripke frame that satisfies

- Atomicity,
- Intersection,
- Orthocomplement,
- Adequacy,
- Repeatability,
- Partial functionality,
- Self-adjointness,
- Proper superposition,
- Cover law.

Theorem

Each quantum dynamic frame is dual to a Piron lattice.

A quantum dynamic frame is a special Kripke frame that satisfies

- Atomicity,
- Intersection,
- Orthocomplement,
- Adequacy,
- Repeatability,
- Partial functionality,
- Self-adjointness,
- Proper superposition,
- Cover law.

Some axioms

We base some axioms on the properties of quantum dynamic frame.

Adequacy

For all $P \in \mathcal{T}$ and for all $s \in P$ we have $s \xrightarrow{P?} s$.

$$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle q)$$

Orthocomplement

$s \in \sim P$ iff $s \nrightarrow t$ for all $t \in P$.

$$\langle p? \rangle \top \leftrightarrow \Diamond p \quad (= \neg \sim p)$$

Some axioms

We base some axioms on the properties of quantum dynamic frame.

Adequacy

For all $P \in \mathcal{T}$ and for all $s \in P$ we have $s \xrightarrow{P?} s$.

$$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle q)$$

Orthocomplement

$s \in \sim P$ iff $s \nrightarrow t$ for all $t \in P$.

$$\langle p? \rangle \top \leftrightarrow \diamond p \quad (= \neg \sim p)$$

① $\phi, \phi \rightarrow \psi \implies \psi$

Modus ponens

② $\phi \implies [\alpha]\phi, \Box\phi$

Necessitation

③ $\phi \rightarrow [p?]\psi \implies \phi \rightarrow \Box\psi$ if $p \notin \phi, \psi$

④ $\phi \implies \phi^\sigma$

Uniform substitution

for some $\sigma : \text{Prop} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$

$$\Box(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\Box p \rightarrow \Box q)$$

Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \neg \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow (\top \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top)$
- 2 $\langle p? \rangle \top \leftrightarrow \Diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top$
- 4 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \neg \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow (\top \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top)$
- 2 $\langle p? \rangle \top \leftrightarrow \Diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top$
- 4 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \neg \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow (\top \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top)$
- 2 $\langle p? \rangle \top \leftrightarrow \Diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top$
- 4 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \neg \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow (\top \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top)$
- 2 $\langle p? \rangle \top \leftrightarrow \Diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top$
- 4 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$



Quantum join with orthocomplement

Lemma

$$\vdash \sim(p \wedge \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$
- 2 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow (\neg \sim p \wedge \sim p)$
- 3 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \perp$
- 4 $\neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 5 $\square \neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 6 $\sim(p \wedge \sim p)$



Lemma

$$\vdash \sim(p \wedge \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$
- 2 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow (\neg \sim p \wedge \sim p)$
- 3 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \perp$
- 4 $\neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 5 $\square \neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 6 $\sim(p \wedge \sim p)$



Quantum join with orthocomplement

Lemma

$$\vdash \sim(p \wedge \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$
- 2 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow (\neg \sim p \wedge \sim p)$
- 3 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \perp$
- 4 $\neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 5 $\square \neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 6 $\sim(p \wedge \sim p)$



Quantum join with orthocomplement

Lemma

$$\vdash \sim(p \wedge \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$
- 2 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow (\neg \sim p \wedge \sim p)$
- 3 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \perp$
- 4 $\neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 5 $\square \neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 6 $\sim(p \wedge \sim p)$



Quantum join with orthocomplement

Lemma

$$\vdash \sim(p \wedge \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$
- 2 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow (\neg \sim p \wedge \sim p)$
- 3 $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \perp$
- 4 $\neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 5 $\square \neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- 6 $\sim(p \wedge \sim p)$



Quantum join with orthocomplement

Lemma

$$\vdash \sim(p \wedge \sim p)$$

Proof.

- ① $p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$
- ② $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow (\neg \sim p \wedge \sim p)$
- ③ $(p \wedge \sim p) \rightarrow \perp$
- ④ $\neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- ⑤ $\square \neg(p \wedge \sim p)$
- ⑥ $\sim(p \wedge \sim p)$



Partial functionality

If $s \xrightarrow{P?} t$ and $s \xrightarrow{P?} u$, then $t = u$.

$$\langle p? \rangle q \rightarrow [p?]q$$

Self-adjointness

If $s \xrightarrow{P?} t \rightarrow u$, then there exists a v such that $u \xrightarrow{P?} v \rightarrow s$.

$$p \rightarrow [q?] \square \langle q? \rangle \diamond p$$

Partial functionality

If $s \xrightarrow{P?} t$ and $s \xrightarrow{P?} u$, then $t = u$.

$$\langle p? \rangle q \rightarrow [p?]q$$

Self-adjointness

If $s \xrightarrow{P?} t \rightarrow u$, then there exists a v such that $u \xrightarrow{P?} v \rightarrow s$.

$$p \rightarrow [q?] \square \langle q? \rangle \diamond p$$

Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$
- 3 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 4 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 5 $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$
- 6 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$
- 7 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$
- 8 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$
- 3 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 4 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 5 $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$
- 6 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$
- 7 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$
- 8 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

① $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$

② $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$

③ $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$

④ $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$

⑤ $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$

⑥ $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$

⑦ $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$

⑧ $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$
- 3 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 4 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 5 $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$
- 6 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$
- 7 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$
- 8 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$
- 3 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 4 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 5 $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$
- 6 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$
- 7 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$
- 8 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$
- 3 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 4 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 5 $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$
- 6 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$
- 7 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$
- 8 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

- 1 $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$
- 3 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 4 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- 5 $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$
- 6 $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$
- 7 $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$
- 8 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Lemma

$$\vdash p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$$

Proof.

- ① $\top \rightarrow (p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p)$
- ② $p \rightarrow \langle \top ? \rangle p$
- ③ $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- ④ $p \rightarrow [\top ?] p$
- ⑤ $[\top ?] p \rightarrow p$
- ⑥ $\langle \top ? \rangle p \rightarrow p$
- ⑦ $p \rightarrow [\top ?] \Box \langle \top ? \rangle \Diamond p$
- ⑧ $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$



Axioms for basic single-agent PQM properties

M1	$\langle p? \rangle \phi \rightarrow \Diamond \phi$
M2	$\Diamond p \leftrightarrow \langle p? \rangle \top$
M3	$T(p) \rightarrow [p?]p$
M4	$T(p) \rightarrow \neg p \leftrightarrow \langle \sim p? \rangle \top$
M5	$\langle p? \rangle q \rightarrow [p?]q$
M6	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle q)$
M7	$p \rightarrow [q?] \Box \langle q? \rangle \Diamond p$
M8	$\Diamond \Diamond \Diamond p \rightarrow \Diamond \Diamond p$
M9	$T(p) \wedge T(q) \wedge \Diamond p \wedge \Box (p \rightarrow \Diamond (p \wedge q)) \rightarrow \langle p? \rangle q$
M10	$\langle U \rangle p \leftrightarrow [U]p$
M11	$p \leftrightarrow [U; U^\dagger]p$
M12	$p \leftrightarrow [U^\dagger; U]p$
M13	$\langle U \rangle \Diamond p \leftrightarrow \Diamond \langle U \rangle p$

Some provable formulas

- 1 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$)
- 2 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \sim \sim p$)
- 3 $T(\sim p)$ ($\sim \sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim p$)
- 4 $p \rightarrow p \sqcup q$
- 5 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 6 $T(p) \wedge T(q) \rightarrow T(p \wedge q)$
- 7 $p \perp q \leftrightarrow q \perp p$
- 8 $r \perp p \wedge r \perp q \leftrightarrow r \perp (p \sqcup q)$

Some provable formulas

- 1 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$)
- 2 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \sim \sim p$)
- 3 $T(\sim p)$ ($\sim \sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim p$)
- 4 $p \rightarrow p \sqcup q$
- 5 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 6 $T(p) \wedge T(q) \rightarrow T(p \wedge q)$
- 7 $p \perp q \leftrightarrow q \perp p$
- 8 $r \perp p \wedge r \perp q \leftrightarrow r \perp (p \sqcup q)$

Some provable formulas

- 1 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$)
- 2 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \sim \sim p$)
- 3 $T(\sim p)$ ($\sim \sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim p$)
- 4 $p \rightarrow p \sqcup q$
- 5 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 6 $T(p) \wedge T(q) \rightarrow T(p \wedge q)$
- 7 $p \perp q \leftrightarrow q \perp p$
- 8 $r \perp p \wedge r \perp q \leftrightarrow r \perp (p \sqcup q)$

Some provable formulas

- 1 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \neg \sim p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$
- 3 $T(\sim p) \quad (\sim \sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 4 $p \rightarrow p \sqcup q$
- 5 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 6 $T(p) \wedge T(q) \rightarrow T(p \wedge q)$
- 7 $p \perp q \leftrightarrow q \perp p$
- 8 $r \perp p \wedge r \perp q \leftrightarrow r \perp (p \sqcup q)$

Some provable formulas

- 1 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$)
- 2 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \sim \sim p$)
- 3 $T(\sim p)$ ($\sim \sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim p$)
- 4 $p \rightarrow p \sqcup q$
- 5 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 6 $T(p) \wedge T(q) \rightarrow T(p \wedge q)$
- 7 $p \perp q \leftrightarrow q \perp p$
- 8 $r \perp p \wedge r \perp q \leftrightarrow r \perp (p \sqcup q)$

Some provable formulas

- 1 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \neg \sim p)$
- 2 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p \quad (p \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$
- 3 $T(\sim p) \quad (\sim \sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 4 $p \rightarrow p \sqcup q$
- 5 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 6 $T(p) \wedge T(q) \rightarrow T(p \wedge q)$
- 7 $p \perp q \leftrightarrow q \perp p$
- 8 $r \perp p \wedge r \perp q \leftrightarrow r \perp (p \sqcup q)$

Some provable formulas

- 1 $p \rightarrow \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \neg \sim p$)
- 2 $p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$ ($p \rightarrow \sim \sim p$)
- 3 $T(\sim p)$ ($\sim \sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim p$)
- 4 $p \rightarrow p \sqcup q$
- 5 $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$
- 6 $T(p) \wedge T(q) \rightarrow T(p \wedge q)$
- 7 $p \perp q \leftrightarrow q \perp p$
- 8 $r \perp p \wedge r \perp q \leftrightarrow r \perp (p \sqcup q)$

Axioms for inequalities

-
- | | |
|----|---|
| l1 | $t \geq \beta \leftrightarrow t + 0P_a(\phi) \geq \beta$ |
| l2 | $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P_a(\phi_k) \geq \beta \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{j_k} P_a(\phi_{j_k}) \geq q\beta$
for any permutation j_1, \dots, j_n of $1, \dots, n$ |
| l3 | $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P_a(\phi_k) \geq \beta \wedge \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha'_k P_a(\phi_k) \geq \beta'$
$\rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k + \alpha'_k) P_a(\phi_k) \geq (\beta + \beta')$ |
| l4 | $t \geq \beta \leftrightarrow dt \geq d\beta$ if $d > 0$ |
| l5 | $t \geq \beta \vee t \leq \beta$ |
| l6 | $t \geq \beta \rightarrow t \geq \gamma$ if $\beta > \gamma$ |
-

Axioms for probabilities

- 1 $(\Pr(p) = 0) \leftrightarrow \sim p$
- 2 $(\Pr(p) > 0) \leftrightarrow \diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = 1)$
- 4 $T(p) \rightarrow (p \leftrightarrow \Pr(p) = 1)$
- 5 *Orthocomplement*
 $(\Pr(p) = \rho) \leftrightarrow (\Pr(\sim p) = 1 - \rho)$
- 6 *Quantum join*
 $(p \perp q) \wedge (\Pr(p) = \rho) \wedge (\Pr(q) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p \sqcup q) = \rho + \tau)$
- 7 *Superposition*
 $E p \wedge E q \wedge (p \perp q) \rightarrow E [\langle p? \rangle_{=\rho} p \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=1-\rho} q]$
- 8 $(p \leq q) \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=\rho} (\Pr(p) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = \rho\tau)$

Axioms for probabilities

- 1 $(\Pr(p) = 0) \leftrightarrow \sim p$
- 2 $(\Pr(p) > 0) \leftrightarrow \diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = 1)$
- 4 $T(p) \rightarrow (p \leftrightarrow \Pr(p) = 1)$
- 5 *Orthocomplement*
 $(\Pr(p) = \rho) \leftrightarrow (\Pr(\sim p) = 1 - \rho)$
- 6 *Quantum join*
 $(p \perp q) \wedge (\Pr(p) = \rho) \wedge (\Pr(q) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p \sqcup q) = \rho + \tau)$
- 7 *Superposition*
 $E p \wedge E q \wedge (p \perp q) \rightarrow E [\langle p? \rangle_{=\rho} p \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=1-\rho} q]$
- 8 $(p \leq q) \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=\rho} (\Pr(p) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = \rho\tau)$

Axioms for probabilities

- 1 $(\Pr(p) = 0) \leftrightarrow \sim p$
- 2 $(\Pr(p) > 0) \leftrightarrow \diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = 1)$
- 4 $T(p) \rightarrow (p \leftrightarrow \Pr(p) = 1)$
- 5 *Orthocomplement*
 $(\Pr(p) = \rho) \leftrightarrow (\Pr(\sim p) = 1 - \rho)$
- 6 *Quantum join*
 $(p \perp q) \wedge (\Pr(p) = \rho) \wedge (\Pr(q) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p \sqcup q) = \rho + \tau)$
- 7 *Superposition*
 $E p \wedge E q \wedge (p \perp q) \rightarrow E [\langle p? \rangle_{=\rho} p \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=1-\rho} q]$
- 8 $(p \leq q) \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=\rho} (\Pr(p) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = \rho\tau)$

Axioms for probabilities

- 1 $(\Pr(p) = 0) \leftrightarrow \sim p$
- 2 $(\Pr(p) > 0) \leftrightarrow \diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = 1)$
- 4 $T(p) \rightarrow (p \leftrightarrow \Pr(p) = 1)$
- 5 *Orthocomplement*
 $(\Pr(p) = \rho) \leftrightarrow (\Pr(\sim p) = 1 - \rho)$
- 6 *Quantum join*
 $(p \perp q) \wedge (\Pr(p) = \rho) \wedge (\Pr(q) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p \sqcup q) = \rho + \tau)$
- 7 *Superposition*
 $E p \wedge E q \wedge (p \perp q) \rightarrow E [\langle p? \rangle_{=\rho} p \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=1-\rho} q]$
- 8 $(p \leq q) \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=\rho} (\Pr(p) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = \rho\tau)$

Axioms for probabilities

- 1 $(\Pr(p) = 0) \leftrightarrow \sim p$
- 2 $(\Pr(p) > 0) \leftrightarrow \diamond p$
- 3 $p \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = 1)$
- 4 $T(p) \rightarrow (p \leftrightarrow \Pr(p) = 1)$
- 5 *Orthocomplement*
 $(\Pr(p) = \rho) \leftrightarrow (\Pr(\sim p) = 1 - \rho)$
- 6 *Quantum join*
 $(p \perp q) \wedge (\Pr(p) = \rho) \wedge (\Pr(q) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p \sqcup q) = \rho + \tau)$
- 7 *Superposition*
 $E p \wedge E q \wedge (p \perp q) \rightarrow E [\langle p? \rangle_{=\rho} p \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=1-\rho} q]$
- 8 $(p \leq q) \wedge \langle q? \rangle_{=\rho} (\Pr(p) = \tau) \rightarrow (\Pr(p) = \rho\tau)$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[\left(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2} \right) \wedge \left(\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge \left(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2} \right) \wedge \left(\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \right) \rightarrow \left(\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1 \right)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow \left(\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1 \right) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[\left(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3} \right) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2 ? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} \left(\left(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2} \right) \wedge \left(\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge (\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3}) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} ((\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2})) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge (\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3}) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} ((\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2})) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge (\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3}) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} ((\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2})) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge (\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3}) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} ((\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2})) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge (\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3}) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} \left((\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge (\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3}) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} \left((\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Theorem

For all n and all sets of n proposition letters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$

$$\vdash \bigwedge_{i \leq n} E p_i \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} p_i \perp p_j \rightarrow E \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \Pr(b_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

- 1 $E p_1 \wedge E p_2 \wedge (p_1 \perp p_2) \rightarrow E \left[(\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right]$
- 2 $(p_1 \perp p_2) \wedge (\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1)$
- 3 $T(p_1 \sqcup p_2) \rightarrow (\Pr(p_1 \sqcup p_2) = 1) \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 4 $(p_3 \perp p_1) \wedge (p_3 \perp p_2) \rightarrow p_3 \perp p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 5 $\implies E \left[(\Pr(p_3) = \frac{1}{3}) \wedge \langle p_1 \sqcup p_2? \rangle_{= \frac{2}{3}} \left((\Pr(p_1) = \frac{1}{2}) \wedge (\Pr(p_2) = \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right]$
- 6 $p_1 \rightarrow p_1 \sqcup p_2$
- 7 $E \left[\bigwedge_{i \leq 3} \Pr(p_i) = \frac{1}{3} \right]$

Axioms for multi-agent properties

T1	$[\perp?] \perp$
T2	$p \rightarrow \langle T? \rangle p$
T3	$[T_I](p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow ([T_I]p \rightarrow [T_I]q)$
T4	$[T_I]p \rightarrow p$
T5	$[T_I]p \rightarrow [T_I][T_I]p$
T6	$\neg[T_I]p \rightarrow [T_I]\neg[T_I]p$
T7	$[T_I]p \rightarrow [T_J]p$ for all $I \subseteq J$
T8	$T_I \leftrightarrow T_{N \setminus I}$
T9	$T_I \rightarrow [T_I]T_I \wedge [T_{N \setminus I}]T_I$
T10	T_N
T11	$(T_I \wedge T_J) \rightarrow (T_{I \cup J} \wedge T_{I \cap J})$
T12	$T(p) \wedge I(p) \wedge I(q) \wedge (\perp \neq q) \wedge (q \leq p) \rightarrow (p = q)$ if $I \neq N$
T13	$\sim T_I \leftrightarrow \perp$
T14	$I(\alpha) \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle p \leq \langle T_I \rangle p$

Summary and future work

- An axiomatization may be useful for analyzing the correctness of other protocols. Next step: [BB84](#)?
- The existing proof system might be reducible (some axioms may be provable)
- Completeness?
- Complexity of the validity problem of the logic?

THANK YOU!